

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS  
RANKING DEMOCRATIC MEMBER

COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES  
SUBCOMMITTEE ON INSURANCE, HOUSING AND  
COMMUNITY OPPORTUNITY

SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS  
AND CONSUMER CREDIT

**Congress of the United States**  
**House of Representatives**  
**Washington, DC 20515**  
**NYDIA M. VELAZQUEZ**  
12TH DISTRICT, NEW YORK

CONGRESSIONAL HISPANIC CAUCUS  
CONGRESSIONAL CAUCUS FOR  
WOMEN'S ISSUES  
CONGRESSIONAL  
PROGRESSIVE CAUCUS  
OLDER AMERICANS CAUCUS  
CONGRESSIONAL  
CHILDREN'S CAUCUS

June 5, 2012

The Honorable Eric H. Holder, Jr. Attorney General  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

RE: United States v. Sholom Rubashkin (N.D. IA)

Dear Mr. Holder:

As a member of Congress, I am committed to see that justice is served fairly for all who come before our courts. In the vast majority of cases, defendants are afforded fair process and, if found guilty, are sentenced fairly. But this is not always true, one particular case that has come to my attention illustrates clearly and tragically that injustice happens, and that disparate sentences are sometimes imposed, the prosecution of Sholom Rubashkin.

Rubashkin is the former manager of the Agriprocessors kosher meatpacking plant in Postville, Iowa raided by the government in May 2008.

Although initially arrested on immigration-law violations, all such charges were subsequently dismissed by the government, and Rubashkin was tried and found guilty of bank fraud and related white-collar crimes in November 2009. He was sentenced in June 2010 to 27 years in prison, two years beyond what even the government recommended.

This case raises very serious issues of judicial and prosecutorial misconduct, resulting in an unfair and disparate sentence, I believe these allegations are sufficiently serious to warrant an investigation by you.

Rubashkin's harsh, 27-year sentence raises a number of troubling concerns. He is a first-time offender and was found guilty of white-collar violations with no hint of violence or physical harm to anyone. The federal Judge gave what amounts to a life sentence for a 51-year-old man. The sentence has been criticized by many lawyers and legal scholars, including six of your predecessors who publicly called on the trial judge to impose a fair sentence consistent with other, similar cases. Instead, the judge imposed a sentence greater than many sentences imposed on other defendants convicted of far more serious white-collar crimes.

The process by which the government and judge arrived at sentence is troubling as well.

For example, after the Agriprocessors firm went into bankruptcy as a result of the May 2008 raid, the government deliberately hampered the ability of the trustee to sell the company's considerable assets at a fair price in order to minimize any loss to the Iowa bank that had extended credit to the company. The government trustee further lowered the value of Agriprocessors by mishandling inventory at the Postville plant. Moreover, the government unnecessarily included a forfeiture clause in the initial, immigration-based indictment; further reducing the marketability of the plant's considerable assets. The resulting decrease in the value of Agriprocessors significantly inflated the "loss" for Sentencing Guidelines purposes.

In short, these steps by the government had the effect of significantly boosting Rubashkin's Sentencing Guideline numbers; which in turn provided justification for the judge to impose an excessive and disproportionate sentence.

There are other troubling aspects of this case, such as the fact that the federal judge who presided over the trial of Rubashkin improperly engaged in numerous and detailed, ex parte discussions in the six months preceding the May 2008 raid with the Office of the United States Attorney and immigration officials. None of this was disclosed by either the judge or the prosecutors to the lawyers representing Rubashkin. They discovered it only after reviewing a large quantity of documents received post sentencing as a result of an earlier FOIA inquiry.

To date, however, the Department of Justice has been unwilling to inquire into the Iowa prosecutors' handling of the Rubashkin case, Lanny Breuer, Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, has responded to requests to engage the Department in reviewing these allegations, by referring the matter to the U.S. Attorney's office for the Northern District of Iowa.

You have recently and publicly expressed a desire to ensure that all federal prosecutions and sentencing procedures are conducted in a fair and even-handed manner.

I therefore request that you expressly and formally inquire into the manner in which Sholom Rubashkin was sentenced, and into what appears to have been a tainted and secret relationship between the trial judge and the government leading to the prosecution of Rubashkin.

Thank You,



Nydia M. Velazquez  
Member of Congress