

EXHIBIT 7

AFFIRMATION OF BRIAN DROR


I, Brian Dror, affirm the following under penalty of perjury:

1. I am a certified public accountant practicing in Los Angeles, California and I have learned the following.
2. Joseph Sarachek, the Trustee in bankruptcy for Agriprocessors, Inc., in Case No. 08-2751, filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Iowa (the "Trustee") had many frustrations concerning the interference of the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Iowa ("USAO") in the orderly administration of Mr. Sarachek's duties as bankruptcy trustee.
3. In addition to the concerns Mr. Sarachek expressed in his filings with the Bankruptcy court, other examples include, that in the first meeting the Trustee attended with representatives of the United States Attorney's office after he was appointed the trustee for Agriprocessors, representatives of the USAO informed Mr. Sarachek that Agriprocessors is a "sham business," that it has "no value," and that anything of value in the company would likely be forfeited to the government as a result of the criminal case involving Agriprocessors and Mr. Rubashkin. The representatives of the USAO told Mr. Sarachek "not to get the plant restarted or sold."
4. As the Trustee engaged in talks with several potential bidders for the assets of Agriprocessors, representatives of the USAO were communicating directly with the potential bidders, and making known their intention to go forward with forfeiture of Agriprocessors' assets if any of the bidders associated in any way with members of the Rubashkin family, or any related entity.

5. Due to further government encroachments on his autonomy as Trustee, the Trustee experienced great difficulties operating Agriprocessors within the limits of the post-petition financing made available to the company. For example, the USAO told Mr. Sarachek that Agriprocessors could not do business with Cottonballs – a company in which Sholom Rubashkin had a financial interest - and as a result Agriprocessors had to buy and transport chickens from distant locations, which resulted in major increase in expenses and loss of revenues
6. The Trustee's attorney, Paula Roby appeared to be taking "direct orders" from the USAO on a regular basis concerning the operation of the company.
7. Moreover, Agriprocessors could not do business with Best Trucking – another business with ties to the Rubashkin family -- "unless no other trucks were available." This resulted in a major increase in expenses to the estate, as Best Trucking offered very competitive prices to Agri.
8. The government's threats of forfeiture, its demands that no buyer involve any member of the Rubashkin family in the business going forward, and its operational intrusions into the Trustee's autonomy compromised the Trustee's ability to maximize value for the creditors of Agriprocessors in the bankruptcy.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 9, 29/13



Brian Dror